SI

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/718,271	PODOLSKY, RICHARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred Ferris	2128
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>1 June 2007</u> .		
2. $\boxtimes$ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-45</u> .		
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un</li> <li>a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	been received. been received in Application No	
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi		
5. X CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	•	948) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ŕ
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date 20070828.	Amendment / Comment or in the O	ffice action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the		
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F</li> </ol>		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	(PTO-413),
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),     Paper No./Mail Date	Paper No./Mail Date 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendm	e nent/Comment
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	nt of Reasons for Allowance
	9.	FRED FERRIS PRIMARY EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Application/Control Number: 10/718,271 Page 2

Art Unit: 2128

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-45 have been presented for examination based on applicant's amendment filed 1 June 2007. Amended claims 1-45 remain pending in this application and have now been allowed over the prior art of record.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1 June 2007 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Regarding applicants' response to drawing objections: The objection relating to missing features is withdrawn in view of new Figure 8 but the drawings remain informal.

Regarding applicants' response to 101 rejections: The examiner withdraws the 101 rejection in view of applicants' amendment to the claims and supporting arguments filed 1 June 2007.

Regarding applicants' response to 112(1/2) rejections: The examiner withdraws the 112(1/2) rejection in view of applicants' amendment to the claims and supporting arguments filed 1 June 2007.

Regarding applicants' response to 102/103 rejections: The examiner withdraws the 102/103 rejections in view of applicants' amendment to the claims and supporting arguments filed 1 June 2007.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-45 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,271

Art Unit: 2128

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicants are disclosing a computer based method for calculating probability of collision by birds with a wind turbine inclusive of modeling a wind turbine, modeling an approaching bird, and calculating the probability of wind-turbine collision by the bird.

This has been disclosed in the prior art of record.

While these elements are individually disclosed in the prior art, the prior art of record does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2132, namely:

"The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an **ipsissimis verbis** test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. **In re Bond**, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

In particular, the prior art of record does not explicitly disclose the specific sequence of method steps that includes modeling a wind turbine, modeling an approaching bird, modeling a wind park, calculating the probability of wind-turbine collision by the bird, in combination with a modeling step of modeling the wind turbine modeling as a rotor and at least one of nacelle, a monopole, and a hub, and where the wind park includes more that one wind turbine, as now required by amended independent claims 1 and 16. Similarly, amended independent claims 31 and 38 include the additional combination that requires calculation comprising using the structure model, approaching-bird model, and the structure-area model.

The prior art of record discloses elements of the claimed invention as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/718,271 Page 4

Art Unit: 2128

teaches method for calculating probability of collision by birds with a wind turbine inclusive of modeling a wind turbine, modeling an approaching bird, and calculating the probability of wind-turbine collision by the bird. However, Tucker does not explicitly disclose the specific sequence of method steps that includes modeling a wind turbine, modeling an approaching bird, modeling a wind park, calculating the probability of wind-turbine collision by the bird, in combination with a modeling step of modeling the wind turbine modeling as a rotor and at least one of nacelle, a monopole, and a hub, and where the wind park includes more that one wind turbine, as now required by amended independent claims 1 and 16, or the additional combination that requires calculation comprising using the structure model, approaching-bird model, and the structure-area model, as required by amended independent claims 31 and 38.

Dependent claims are deemed allowable as depending either directly or indirectly from independent claims 1, 16, 31, or 38.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2128

## **Conclusion**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 571-272-3778 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3700. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached at 571-272-3780. The Official Fax Number is: (571) 273-8300

Fred Ferris, Primary Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2128
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Room 5D19
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22313
Phone: (571-272-3778)
Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov
August 27, 2007

FRED FERRISHER 2100
FRIMARY CENTER 2100
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100